UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/578,509	05/08/2006	Yuji Itoh	127958	8991
25944 OLIFF & BERI	7590 02/12/200 RIDGE, PLC	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 320850			MORGAN, EILEEN P	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320-4850			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3723	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/12/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/578,509	ITOH ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Eileen P. Morgan	3723			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 M</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accention and policinate to the company of the specification to the company of the specification of the company of the specification is objected to by the Examine and policinate the specification is objected to by the Examine and policinate the specification is objected to by the Examine and policinate the specification is objected to by the Examine and policinate the specification is objected to by the Examine and policinate the specification is objected to by the Examine and policinate the specification is objected to by the Examine and policinate the specification is objected to by the Examine and policinate the specification is objected to by the Examine and policinate the specification is objected to by the Examine and policinate the specification is objected to by the Examine and policinate the specification is objected to by the Examine and policinate the specification is objected to by the Examine and policinate the specification is objected to by the Examine and policinate the specification is objected to by the Examine and policinate the specification is objected to by the Examine and policinate the specification is objected to by the Examine and policinate the specification is objected to by the Examine and policinate the specification is objected to by the Examine and policinate the specification is objected to be specification in the specification is objected to be specification in the specification in the specification is objected to be specification in the specification in the specification is objected to be specification in the specification in the specification is objected to be specification in the specification in t	r election requirement. r. epted or b)□ objected to by the B				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5-8-06.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	te			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 4 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mori-5,569,060.

Mori discloses a method of grinding a cylindrical workpiece by traverse grinding from one end (Ga) to middle (Gb) and traverse grinding from a second end (Gc) to middle (Gb)(Fig. 8)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 7,8 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mori, alone.

Mori discloses a method of grinding a cylindrical workpiece by traverse grinding from one end (Ga) to middle (Gb) and traverse grinding from a second end (Gc) to middle (Gb)(Fig. 8). Mori does not disclose exact speed or that workpiece is a honeycomb structure. However, the exact grinding wheel speed would have been an obvious design expedient dependent on finish desired. Any known cylindrical

workpiece that can be ground is capable of being ground with the method disclosed by Hayashi.

Claims 1-8 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hayashi-5,595,525.

Hayashi discloses a method of grinding an outer surface of a workpiece by plunge grinding and traverse grinding. The grinding method desired is input into computer (Fig. 2c) and the grinding speed (Fig 4) is also input (Col. 4, lines 11-35). Plural grinding steps (plunge and traverse grinding) can be input to be performed on one workpiece(Fig 3). In regard to claim 1, Although Hayashi does not disclose two step grinding comprising plunge grinding workpiece at one location and then traverse grinding from a second location toward first location, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at time invention was made to choose any of the available grinding steps (plunge and traverse) disclosed by Hayashi in any order and direction since these steps are inputted based on grinding and finish desired and the final workpiece finish and diameter would dictate grinding steps. The exact grinding wheel speed would have been an obvious design expedient dependent on finish desired (Fig.4). Any known cylindrical workpiece that can be ground is capable of being ground with the method disclosed by Hayashi.

In regard to claim 4, Although Hayashi does not disclose two step grinding comprising traverse grinding workpiece from one location to middle and then traverse grinding from a second location toward middle, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at time invention was made to choose any of the available

Application/Control Number: 10/578,509 Page 4

Art Unit: 3723

grinding steps (traverse grinding twice) disclosed by Hayashi in any order and direction since these steps are inputted based on grinding and finish desired and the final workpiece finish and diameter would dictate grinding steps. And the choice of any of these steps would be within the level of ordinary skill. The exact grinding wheel speed would have been an obvious design expedient dependent on finish desired (Fig.4). Any known cylindrical workpiece that can be ground is capable of being ground with the method disclosed by Hayashi.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eileen P. Morgan whose telephone number is 571.272.4488. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 7am-3:30pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Hail can be reached on 571.272.4485. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/578,509 Page 5

Art Unit: 3723

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

EM February 1, 2008

> /Eileen P Morgan/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3723